

Online Appendix to “The Granular Origins of Aggregate Fluctuations”

Xavier Gabaix

August 13, 2009

Attenuation bias in the granular residual

I analyze the properties of the granular residual. The conclusion is that it suffers from attenuation bias, but the bias goes to 0 as the number of firm K becomes large. I did not take a very large number of firms in the analysis, because this introduced new difficulties – the homogeneity assumption (55) is likely to be a less good approximation.

I consider first a one-factor model (no industry shocks). For firm i :

$$g_{it} = a_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (55)$$

where a_t is a common shock, and ε_{it} is an idiosyncratic shock. The granular residual is:

$$\Gamma_K = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K S_i (g_i - \bar{g})}{\sum_{i=1}^K S_t} \quad (56)$$

while the econometrician would like to know the “ideal” granular residual – a weighted mean of the idiosyncratic shocks of the top K firms.

$$\Gamma_K^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K S_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^K S_t} \quad (57)$$

(the specific choice of the denominator does not matter here, as I investigate the R^2 's, and R^2 do not change when one multiplies some variables by a constant).

GDP growth follows, as in the model of section 3:

$$y_t = \phi \Gamma_{Kt}^* + u_t \quad (58)$$

where u_t is a disturbance orthogonal to $(\varepsilon_{it})_{i=1\dots K}$. One would like to know how much R^2 of the idiosyncratic shocks of the top K firms explain, i.e. the R^2 of the *ideal* granular residual:

$$R_{\Gamma_K^*}^2 = \frac{\text{cov}(y_t, \Gamma_{Kt}^*)^2}{\text{var}(y_t) \text{var}(\Gamma_{Kt}^*)} \quad (59)$$

The empirical analysis only gives the R^2 of the granular residual Γ :

$$R_{\Gamma_K}^2 = \frac{\text{cov}(y_t, \Gamma_{Kt})^2}{\text{var}(y_t) \text{var}(\Gamma_{Kt})} \quad (60)$$

Econometrically, the situation is tricky, because economically, a_t is correlated with Γ_K^* .

A quantity of interest is the Herfindahl of the top K firms:

$$H_K = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K S_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^K S_i\right)^2} \quad (61)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, $KH_K \geq 1$.

Lemma 2 *The R^2 of the granular residual is a downward biased estimate of the R^2 of the ideal granular residual, by a factor $1 - \frac{1}{KH_K}$.*

$$R_{\Gamma_K}^2 = R_{\Gamma_K^*}^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{KH_K}\right)$$

Proof. We first observe that, by rescaling, it is enough to analyze the case where $\sigma_\varepsilon = 1$. I call $\sum_{i=1}^K S_i = s$. Call $\bar{X} = K^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^K X_i$ for a variable X . Then: $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^K (S_i - s/K) \varepsilon_i$, which gives, dropping the K subscripts when there is no ambiguity:

$$\Gamma_t^* = \Gamma_t + \bar{\varepsilon}_t \text{ with } \text{cov}(\Gamma_t, \bar{\varepsilon}_t) = 0$$

which means that Γ is a noisy proxy for Γ^* . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\Gamma_t^*, \Gamma_t) &= \text{var}\Gamma_t = \left(\sum_{i=1}^K S_i\right)^2 \left(H - \frac{1}{K}\right) \\ \text{var}\Gamma_t^* &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^K S_i\right)^2 H \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Gamma}^2 &= \frac{\text{cov}(y, \Gamma_t)^2}{\text{var}y \cdot \text{var}(\Gamma_t)} = \frac{\phi^2 \text{cov}(\Gamma_t, \Gamma_t^*)^2}{\text{var}y \cdot \text{var}(\Gamma_t)} = \frac{\phi^2 (\text{var}\Gamma^*)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{HK}\right)^2}{\text{var}y \cdot \text{var}(\Gamma_t)} = \frac{\text{cov}(y, \Gamma_t^*)^2}{\text{var}y \cdot \text{var}\Gamma^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{HK}\right)^2 \frac{\text{var}\Gamma^*}{\text{var}\Gamma} \\ &= R_{\Gamma^*}^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{HK}\right)^2 \frac{H}{H - \frac{1}{K}} = R_{\Gamma^*}^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{HK}\right). \end{aligned}$$

■

Empirically, for the $K = 100$, firms, $\left(1 - \frac{1}{KH_K}\right) = 2/3$. Hence if empirically the $R_{\Gamma_K}^2 = 1/3$,

the R^2 of the ideal granular residual is $R_{\Gamma_K^*}^2 = 1/2$. This bias is an attenuation bias, as the granular residual is a noisy proxy for the ideal granular residual.

If the distribution is very concentrated, then $H_K \gg 1/K$. Formally, the proof of Proposition 2 shows that if the Pareto exponent of the distribution is $1 \leq \zeta < 2$, $KH_K \sim K^{2-2/\zeta}$, so $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (KH_K)^{-1} = 0$, and as $K \rightarrow \infty$, $R_{Y, \Gamma_K}^2 / R_{Y, \Gamma_K^*}^2 \rightarrow 1$. This is the sense in which, for large K , the granular residual identifies the explanatory power of the ideal granular residual.

The same reasoning applies, with messier expressions, with industry specific shocks, model: $g_{it} = a_t + a_{I_i} + \varepsilon_{it}$. The R^2 of the Γ^{ind} is a downward estimate R^2 of the ideal granular residual $\Gamma^{*,ind}$. The bias goes to 0 as the number of firms becomes large.