Online Appendix for "Contracting out the Last-Mile of Service Delivery: Subsidized Food Distribution in Indonesia"

Appendix Table 1: Baseline Summary Statistics										
	N Mean St									
	(1)	(2)	(3)							
Panel A: Distributor Characte	eristics									
Raskin Distributor is Local/District Official	572	0.93	0.25							
Panel B: Baseline Raskin Purchase, from Househo	old Surveys,	All Househo	olds							
Raskin Price Markup	5,332	657.62	472.48							
Proportion that Purchased Raskin	6,860	0.73	0.44							
Quantity Purchased	6,860	5.23	4.96							
Panel C: Baseline Raskin Purchase, from Household	Surveys, El	igible House	cholds							
Raskin Price Markup	3,939	651.68	474.30							
Proportion that Purchased Raskin	4,621	0.81	0.40							
Quantity Purchased	4,621	6.04	5.06							

Note: This table provides baseline summary statistics on the Raskin program. Price, quantity, and subsidy data come from the household survey. Distributor characteristics come from a survey of the locality head.

Pure Control	285		
Bidding	191	Min # of bids Open Bids	96 95
Information Only	96		

Appendix Table 2: Number of Localities Randomly Assigned to Each Treatment

		Panel A: Distri	butor Identity and Co	nnections		
	In charge of any		Distributor/spouse is	Distributor/spouse		
	responsibilities	Distributor/spouse	related to a local	is/was or is related to		Lives in
	before May 2013	is/was local official	official	hamlet official	Is a trader	locality
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Info or Bidding	-0.035	-0.059	0.043	0.039	0.008	-0.027
	(0.048)	(0.050)	(0.045)	(0.055)	(0.027)	(0.042)
Open Bids	-0.135**	-0.110*	0.053	0.068	0.068**	-0.046
	(0.059)	(0.062)	(0.055)	(0.067)	(0.033)	(0.052)
Min # Bids	-0.197***	-0.042	0.038	0.017	0.074**	0.009
	(0.059)	(0.062)	(0.055)	(0.068)	(0.033)	(0.052)
P-Value						
Open = Min	0.297	0.272	0.783	0.452	0.839	0.288
Open = Ctl	0.001	0.001	0.033	0.053	0.005	0.085
Min = Ctl	0.000	0.046	0.073	0.313	0.002	0.671
Control Mean	0.803	0.347	0.160	0.327	0.034	0.816
		Panel B: Oth	er Distributor Charac	teristics		
					Has personal	
	Owns a truck	Avg Digit Span	Raw dice score		savings account	
	and/or a boat	above median	above median	Years of education	for business	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Info or Bidding	-0.039	-0.009	-0.021	0.233	0.036	
-	(0.026)	(0.059)	(0.059)	(0.326)	(0.055)	
Open Bids	0.027	0.020	0.048	-0.500	0.128*	
-	(0.032)	(0.072)	(0.072)	(0.399)	(0.068)	
Min # Bids	0.017	-0.017	-0.002	-0.406	0.081	
	(0.032)	(0.072)	(0.072)	(0.400)	(0.068)	
P-Value						
Open = Min	0.747	0.609	0.492	0.816	0.485	
Open = Ctl	0.671	0.850	0.648	0.417	0.003	
Min = Ctl	0.414	0.662	0.700	0.598	0.036	
Control Mean	0.071	0.449	0.519	12,139	0.313	

Appendix Table 3: Who Distributes Raskin Six Months After Intervention? By Min # Bids

Control Mean0.0710.4490.51912.1390.313Note: This tables replicates Table 2, but disaggregates the bidding effect by whether the locality was randomized into the minimum
number of bids requirement or whether it was left open. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.</td>

		Panel A: Distrib	utor Identity and Con	nections		
	In charge of any		Distributor/spouse is	s Distributor/spouse		
	responsibilities	Distributor/spouse	related to a local	is/was or is related to		Lives in
	before May 2013	is/was local official	official	hamlet official	Is a trader	locality
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Info or Bidding						
No Women Quota	-0.040	-0.132**	0.110*	0.102	-0.018	-0.033
No women Quota	(0.064)	(0.066)	(0.059)	(0.073)	(0.035)	(0.056)
Women Quota	-0.030	0.015	-0.025	-0.026	0.035	-0.020
Wollien Quota	(0.064)	(0.066)	(0.059)	(0.073)	(0.035)	(0.056)
Bidding						
No Woman Quota	-0.157**	0.044	-0.027	-0.015	0.132***	-0.025
No women Quota	(0.072)	(0.075)	(0.067)	(0.083)	(0.040)	(0.064)
Woman Quata	-0.173**	-0.197***	0.119*	0.101	0.010	-0.013
women Quota	(0.073)	(0.076)	(0.067)	(0.083)	(0.040)	(0.064)
P-Value						
Info or Bidding:	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.100	0.056	0.060
No Ouota = Ouota	0.904	0.092	0.081	0.180	0.256	0.862
Bidding:	0.077	0.024	0.106	0.005	0.022	0.000
No Ouota = Ouota	0.877	0.024	0.126	0.325	0.032	0.892
Control Mean	0.803	0.347	0.160	0.327	0.034	0.816
		Panel B: Other	· Distributor Charact	eristics		
					Has personal	
	Owns a truck	Avg Digit Span	Raw dice score		savings account	
	and/or a boat	above median	above median	Years of education	for business	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Info or Bidding			X-7		X-7	•
N W O	-0.067*	-0.005	-0.003	0.023	0.026	
No Women Quota	(0.035)	(0.078)	(0.078)	(0.431)	(0.073)	
W O I	-0.010	-0.013	-0.040	0.445	0.047	
Women Quota	(0.035)	(0.078)	(0.078)	(0.433)	(0.073)	
Bidding			()	()	(,	
N W O	0.063	0.036	0.007	-0.270	0.125	
No Women Quota	(0.040)	(0.088)	(0.089)	(0.490)	(0.083)	
	-0.019	-0.032	0.039	-0.637	0.084	
Women Quota	(0.040)	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.491)	(0.083)	
P-Value	()	()	()	(~~~~)	(,	
Info or Bidding						
No Quota = Quota	0.220	0.940	0.718	0.457	0.830	
Bidding:		0 577				
No Quota = Quota	0.142	0.587	0.803	0.598	0.722	
Control Mean	0.071	0.449	0.519	12.139	0.313	

Appendix Table 4A: Who Distributes Raskin Six Months After Intervention, by Women Quota Subtreatment

Note: This table replicates Table 2, but disaggregates bidding and information treatments by women quota subtreatment.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

					Distance to	Time to	HH paid for	Satisfied with
			Amount	Satisfied with	purchase point	purchase point	rice in	Raskin
	Bought Raskir	n Price markup	purchased	rice quality	(meters)	(minutes)	advance	program
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Info or Bidding								
No Women Quota	0.029	48	0.701**	0.006	-10.893	0.682*	0.002	-0.011
	(0.03)	(34.63)	(0.32)	(0.01)	(15.13)	(0.35)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Women Quota	-0.047	-13	-0.403	0.006	9.003	0.193	0.024	-0.029**
	(0.03)	(28.64)	(0.26)	(0.01)	(22.45)	(0.37)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Bidding								
No Women Quota	-0.027	-75*	-0.362	0.024	17.642	-0.838**	0.005	-0.007
	(0.04)	(38.84)	(0.37)	(0.02)	(18.24)	(0.39)	(0.04)	(0.02)
Women Quota	0.068*	-20	0.363	0.014	-2.369	-0.159	-0.023	0.018
	(0.04)	(31.39)	(0.29)	(0.02)	(24.49)	(0.40)	(0.03)	(0.01)
<i>P-Value</i>								
Info or Bidding: No Quota = Quota	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.97	0.43	0.31	0.62	0.32
Bidding: No Quota = Quota	0.06	0.28	0.13	0.65	0.52	0.22	0.58	0.25
Observations	6,860	5,886	6,858	6,533	6,194	6,247	6,394	6,782
Control Mean	0.76	652.39	5.76	0.51	190.96	5.94	0.43	0.59

Appendix Table 4B: Raskin Distribution Process, by Women Quota Subtreatment

Note: This table replicates Table 3, but disaggregates bidding and information treatments by women quota subtreatment. Standard errors are clustered by village. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

		Panel A: Distributo	or Identity and Conne	ctions		
	In charge of any		Distributor/ spouse i	s Distributor/spouse		
	responsibilities	Distributor/spouse	related to a local	is/was or is related to		Lives in
	before May 2013	is/was local official	official	hamlet official	Is a trader	locality
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Info or Bidding						
No Enhanced	-0.034	-0.120*	0.018	0.032	-0.031	-0.057
Supervision	(0.064)	(0.067)	(0.059)	(0.073)	(0.035)	(0.056)
Enhanced Supervision	-0.035	0.001	0.067	0.045	0.047	0.003
-	(0.064)	(0.066)	(0.059)	(0.073)	(0.035)	(0.056)
Bidding						
No Enhanced	-0.164**	-0.038	0.073	0.060	0.107***	0.012
Supervision	(0.073)	(0.076)	(0.067)	(0.083)	(0.040)	(0.064)
Enhanced Supervision	-0.166**	-0.115	0.019	0.027	0.035	-0.050
L.	(0.072)	(0.075)	(0.067)	(0.082)	(0.040)	(0.063)
P-Value			. ,	· · ·		. ,
Info or Bidding: No	0.007	0.167	0.521	0.005	0.002	0.415
Enhanced = Enhanced	0.987	0.167	0.531	0.885	0.093	0.415
Bidding: No Enhanced =	0.085	0 474	0.560	0.776	0.200	0.402
Enhanced	0.985	0.474	0.309	0.770	0.209	0.495
Control Mean	0.803	0.347	0.160	0.327	0.034	0.816
		Panel B: Other D	istributor Characteri	stics		
					Has personal	
	Owns a truck	Avg Digit Span	Raw dice score		savings account	
	and/or a boat	above median	above median	Years of education	for business	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	_
Info or Bidding						
No Enhanced	-0.052	-0.003	-0.007	0.104	0.064	
Supervision	(0.035)	(0.078)	(0.078)	(0.432)	(0.073)	
Enhanced Supervision	-0.025	-0.014	-0.035	0.362	0.008	
	(0.035)	(0.078)	(0.078)	(0.432)	(0.073)	
Bidding						
No Enhanced	0.040	0.070	0.026	-0.357	0.024	
Supervision	(0.040)	(0.088)	(0.089)	(0.492)	(0.083)	
Enhanced Supervision	0.005	-0.064	0.021	-0.549	0.183**	
	(0.039)	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.488)	(0.082)	
P-Value						
Info or Bidding: No	0 565	0.913	0.784	0.649	0 556	
Enhanced = Enhanced	0.505	0.715	0.704	0.047	0.550	
Bidding: No Enhanced =	0 532	0.285	0 974	0 783	0 177	
Enhanced	0.332	0.205	0.274	0.705	0.177	
Control Mean	0.071	0.449	0.519	12.139	0.313	

Appendix Table 5A: Who Distributes Raskin Six Months After Intervention, by Enhanced Supervision Subtreatment

Note: This table replicates Table 2, but disaggregates bidding and information treatments by enhanced supervision subtreatment. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

					Distance to	Time to	HH paid for	Satisfied with
			Amount	Satisfied with	purchase point	purchase point	rice in	Raskin
	Bought Raskin	Price markup	purchased	rice quality	(meters)	(minutes)	advance	program
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Info or Bidding								
No Enhanced Supervision	0.026	82***	0.269	0.007	6.913	0.899**	0.040	-0.008
	(0.03)	(31.86)	(0.34)	(0.01)	(17.60)	(0.40)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Enhanced Supervision	-0.044	-45	0.035	0.005	-8.599	-0.015	-0.015	-0.032**
	(0.03)	(29.21)	(0.26)	(0.02)	(20.45)	(0.30)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Bidding								
No Enhanced Supervision	0.015	-114***	0.113	0.019	25.478	-0.951**	-0.048	-0.008
	(0.03)	(34.44)	(0.38)	(0.02)	(20.02)	(0.43)	(0.04)	(0.02)
Enhanced Supervision	0.026	16	-0.118	0.019	-10.087	-0.049	0.030	0.020
	(0.04)	(33.13)	(0.30)	(0.02)	(22.23)	(0.35)	(0.04)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Info or Bidding:	0.08	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.54	0.05	0.20	0.10
Not Enhanced = Enhanced	0.08	0.00	0.50	0.90	0.34	0.05	0.20	0.19
Bidding:	0.84	0.01	0.63	0 00	0.23	0.10	0.13	0.10
Not Enhanced = Enhanced	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.99	0.25	0.10	0.15	0.19
Observations	6,860	5,886	6,858	6,533	6,194	6,247	6,394	6,782
Control Mean	0.76	652.39	5.76	0.51	190.96	5.94	0.43	0.59

Appendix Table 5B: Raskin Distribution Process, by Enhanced Supervision Subtreatment

Note: This table replicates Table 3, but disaggregates bidding and information treatments by enhanced supervision subtreatment. Standard errors are clustered by locality. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

·			ŕ	1	Difference Bet	ween Treatment ar	d Control, with
			Means			Stratum FE	
					Bidding	Information	Bidding
					versus	versus	versus
	Ν	Control	Bidding	Information	Control	Control	Information
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Log per capita consumption	6859	13.06	13.04	13.05	02	01	01
					(.02)	(.02)	(.02)
Household head years of education	6787	6.11	6.16	5.97	.08	14	.22
					(.13)	(.16)	(.17)
Bought Raskin in last 2 months	6860	.72	.73	.77	.01	.05*	04
					(.02)	(.02)	(.03)
Raskin price markup (Rp/kg)	5332	650.85	654.47	683.13	-16.82	20.12	-36.94
					(22.79)	(29.94)	(31.09)
Amount of Raskin purchased per month	6860	5.27	5.06	5.45	24	.12	36
(kg)					(.23)	(.26)	(.28)
Subsidy received (Rp./month)	6860	28141.77	26948.15	28952.66	-1375.06	450.69	-1825.75
					(1256.69)	(1439.08)	(1519.1)
Overall satisfaction according to	6732	0.57	0.57	0.57	0	01	.01
household, scaled 0-1					(.01)	(.01)	(.01)
Exclusion error	4621	.2	.2	.16	01	04*	.03
					(.02)	(.02)	(.03)
Inclusion error	2239	0.57	.58	.62	.01	.06	04
					(.03)	(.04)	(.04)
Locality distance to subdistrict	572	7.52	5.86	7.72	-2.1	.45	-2.55
					(1.36)	(1.91)	(1.93)
Percentage of agriculture households in	572	.07	.07	.06	01	01*	.01
RT					(.01)	(.01)	(.01)
Log locality size	572	6.97	6.91	7	04	.04	08
					(.05)	(.07)	(.07)
Locality head years of education	572	13.39	13.31	13.61	04	.23	27
					(.18)	(.21)	(.23)
Local government official is part of	572	0.68	.71	.63	.03	06	.08
Raskin distribution committee					(.04)	(.06)	(.06)
Hamlet government official is part of	572	.78	.8	0.68	.02	09*	.12**
Raskin distribution committee					(.04)	(.05)	(.05)
Joint P-Value					0.23	0.5	0.2

Note: This table provides a check on the randomization across the bidding, information and control groups. The data come from the baseline surveys. Locality distance to subdistrict and percentage of agricultural households in RT come from Targeting 2 survey (an previous round of surveys). Columns 2, 3, and 4 provide means for each variable for the control, bidding and information groups, respectively. We provide the difference in means with strata fixed effects in Columns 5 and 6. Joint significance p-value comes from randomization inference with 1000 re-randomization iterations. Standard errors clustered by locality in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

				Difference Between Min # Bids
		Me	ans	and Open Bidding, with Stratum
	Ν	Open Bids	Min # Bids	FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log per capita consumption	2292	13.05	13.04	02
				(.02)
Household head years of education	2268	6.13	6.19	.1
				(.18)
Bought Raskin in last 2 months	2292	.73	.73	.01
				(.03)
Raskin price markup (Rp/kg)	1779	674.97	634.35	-31.83
				(31.48)
Amount of Raskin purchased per month	2292	5.04	5.08	09
(kg)				(.33)
Subsidy received (Rp./month)	2292	26866.03	27029.42	-621.87
				(1779.63)
Overall satisfaction according to	2243	.58	0.57	0
household, scaled 0-1				(.01)
Exclusion error	1535	.19	.2	.01
				(.03)
Inclusion error	757	0.57	.6	.04
				(.05)
Locality distance to subdistrict	191	6.51	5.21	-1.6
				(1.45)
Percentage of agriculture households in	191	.07	.07	0
RT				(.01)
Log locality size	191	6.91	6.91	.02
				(.08)
Locality head years of education	191	13.45	13.18	21
				(.28)
Local government official is part of	191	.72	.71	0
Raskin distribution committee				(.07)
Hamlet government official is part of	191	.77	0.82	.04
Raskin distribution committee				(.06)
Joint P-Value				0.71

Appendix Table 6B: Randomization Checks for Minimum Number of Bids Requirement

Note: This table provides a check on the randomization across the minimum required bid and open bidding treatments. The data come from the baseline surveys. Locality distance to subdistrict and percentage of agricultural households in RT come from Targeting 2 survey (an previous round of surveys). Columns 2 and 3 provide means for each variable, by subtreatment. We provide the difference in means with strata fixed effects in Column 4. Joint significance p-value comes from randomization inference with 1000 re-randomization iterations. Standard errors clustered by locality in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

					Distance to	Time to	HH paid for	Satisfied with
	Bought		Amount	Satisfied with	purchase point	purchase point	rice in	Raskin
	Raskin	Price markup	purchased	rice quality	(meters)	(minutes)	advance	program
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Panel A	: Main Treatme	nt Effects			
Info or Bidding	0.008	23.090	0.203	0.006	16.143	0.582*	-0.001	-0.021*
	(0.03)	(29.38)	(0.27)	(0.01)	(20.72)	(0.30)	(0.04)	(0.01)
Bidding	0.007	-56.772*	-0.159	0.019*	-1.946	-0.537*	-0.008	0.007
	(0.03)	(30.43)	(0.28)	(0.01)	(21.95)	(0.31)	(0.04)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Bidding = Ctl	0.45	0.13	0.83	0.00	0.35	0.84	0.75	0.08
Observations	6,860	5,886	6,858	6,533	6,240	6,267	6,394	6,782
Control Mean	0.76	652.39	5.76	0.51	193.05	5.96	0.43	0.59
		Pan	el B: Disaggr	egated by Biddi	ng Type Treatme	ent		
Info or Bidding	0.008	23.148	0.203	0.006	16.190	0.582*	-0.001	-0.021*
e	(0.03)	(29.36)	(0.27)	(0.01)	(20.73)	(0.30)	(0.04)	(0.01)
Open Bids	-0.001	-24.687	-0.171	0.016	24.185	-0.484	0.019	0.003
1	(0.03)	(36.39)	(0.33)	(0.01)	(26.86)	(0.37)	(0.04)	(0.01)
Min # Bids	0.015	-87.674***	-0.146	0.022	-27.509	-0.588*	-0.035	0.012
	(0.03)	(32.66)	(0.31)	(0.01)	(22.85)	(0.35)	(0.04)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Open = Min	0.62	0.06	0.94	0.66	0.03	0.76	0.21	0.43
Open = Ctl	0.77	0.96	0.91	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.59	0.08
Min = Ctl	0.37	0.01	0.82	0.01	0.49	0.98	0.33	0.31
Observations	6,860	5,886	6,858	6,533	6,240	6,267	6,394	6,782
Control Mean	0.76	652.39	5.76	0.51	193.05	5.96	0.43	0.59

Appendix Table 7: Raskin Distribution Process, Excluding Baseline Controls

Note: This table replicates Tables 3 (Panel A) and 8 (Panel B), but excludes baseline controls. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

					Distance to	Time to	HH paid for	Satisfied with
	Bought		Amount	Satisfied with	purchase point	purchase point	rice in	Raskin
	Raskin	Price markup	purchased	rice quality	(meters)	(minutes)	advance	program
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Panel A	: Main Treatme	nt Effects			
Info or Bidding	-0.005	19.935	0.095	0.010	-11.826	0.382	0.001	-0.017
	(0.02)	(23.27)	(0.27)	(0.01)	(16.50)	(0.30)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Bidding	0.012	-52.867**	0.080	0.018	17.804	-0.449	-0.001	0.003
	(0.03)	(23.86)	(0.29)	(0.01)	(17.35)	(0.31)	(0.03)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Bidding = Ctl	0.74	0.06	0.42	0.00	0.62	0.76	0.99	0.11
Observations	4,621	4,327	4,619	4,565	4,391	4,434	4,549	4,609
Control Mean	0.84	642.03	6.61	0.51	196.22	6.17	0.44	0.60
		Par	al B. Disaga	reacted by Biddi	na Tuna Treatma	211 t		
Info or Bidding	-0.005	20.024	0.096		-11 701	0 382	0.001	-0.017
hito of Didding	(0.003)	(23, 27)	(0.27)	(0.010)	(1651)	(0.30)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Open Bids	0.015	-28 663	0.206	0.018	38 555*	-0 399	0.029	0.002
open blus	(0.03)	(28.54)	(0.35)	(0.01)	(20.94)	(0.38)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Min # Bids	0.008	-76.828***	-0.047	0.018	-2.750	-0.499	-0.031	0.004
1.111	(0.03)	(25.76)	(0.32)	(0.01)	(18.36)	(0.34)	(0.03)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Open = Min	0.84	0.07	0.46	0.97	0.03	0.77	0.05	0.90
Open = Ctl	0.69	0.71	0.31	0.02	0.10	0.95	0.20	0.20
Min = Ctl	0.90	0.00	0.85	0.02	0.30	0.65	0.23	0.20
Observations	4,621	4,327	4,619	4,565	4,391	4,434	4,549	4,609
Control Mean	0.84	642.03	6.61	0.51	196.22	6.17	0.44	0.60

Note: This table replicates Tables 3 (Panel A) and 8 (Panel B), but includes only households officially eligible for Raskin. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

					Distance to	Time to	HH paid for	Satisfied with
	Bought		Amount	Satisfied with	purchase point	purchase point	rice in	Raskin
	Raskin	Price markup	purchased	rice quality	(meters)	(minutes)	advance	program
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Panel A	: Main Treatme	nt Effects			
Info or Bidding	-0.011	16.707	0.289	-0.002	24.742	0.611*	0.043	-0.024
	(0.03)	(36.61)	(0.27)	(0.01)	(19.05)	(0.35)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Bidding	0.035	-36.949	-0.190	0.022	-14.818	-0.647*	-0.029	0.010
	(0.03)	(38.57)	(0.29)	(0.02)	(19.47)	(0.36)	(0.03)	(0.01)
P-Value								
Bidding = Ctl	0.33	0.42	0.62	0.09	0.49	0.89	0.55	0.21
Observations	2,239	1,559	2,239	1,968	1,803	1,813	1,845	2,173
Control Mean	0.60	681.37	4.01	0.50	178.02	5.37	0.39	0.56
		Par	nel R· Disaga	regated by Riddi	na Tyne Treatme	nt		
Info or Bidding	-0.011	16.604	0.289	-0.002	24.756	0.611*	0.043	-0.024
into of Disamg	(0.03)	(36.58)	(0.27)	(0.01)	(19.05)	(0.35)	(0.03)	(0.01)
Open Bids	0.012	-11.879	-0.482	0.012	-6.228	-0.524	-0.038	-0.004
	(0.04)	(47.15)	(0.32)	(0.02)	(22.41)	(0.40)	(0.04)	(0.02)
Min # Bids	0.059	-59.325	0.101	0.031*	-22.852	-0.763*	-0.021	0.023
	(0.04)	(40.00)	(0.34)	(0.02)	(22.00)	(0.41)	(0.03)	(0.02)
P-Value								
Open = Min	0.25	0.24	0.06	0.32	0.44	0.52	0.60	0.07
Open = Ctl	0.97	0.90	0.43	0.51	0.30	0.77	0.88	0.04
Min = Ctl	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.06	0.92	0.64	0.43	0.99
Observations	2,239	1,559	2,239	1,968	1,803	1,813	1,845	2,173
Control Mean	0.60	681.37	4.01	0.50	178.02	5.37	0.39	0.56

Ap	pendix	Table	8B :	Raskin	Distribution	Process.	Ineligible	Households	Only
----	--------	-------	-------------	--------	--------------	----------	------------	------------	------

Note: This table replicates Tables 3 (Panel A) and 8 (Panel B), but includes only households officially ineligible for Raskin. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Wii	nners	Los	sers	P-Value
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Losers = Winners
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Panel A: Bids	in Open Lo	calities (178 b	ids in 79 loca	lities)	
Price Markup (Rp/kg) Promised by Bidder	479.30	264.45	588.22	309.44	0.00***
Pay Before Receipt	0.48	0.50	0.34	0.48	0.02**
Pay During Receipt	0.43	0.50	0.59	0.50	0.01**
Pay After Receipt	0.14	0.35	0.17	0.38	0.56
Raskin Distributed at Locality Level	0.29	0.46	0.38	0.49	0.14
Raskin Distributed at Hamlet Level	0.76	0.43	0.64	0.48	0.05**
Raskin Distributed at Household Level	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.17	0.57
Bidder Offers Credit	0.16	0.37	0.08	0.27	0.03**
Panel B: Bids in Lo	calities with	n Min # Bids (2	225 bids in 81	localities)	
Price Markup (Rp/kg) Promised by Bidder	464.04	277.23	551.42	286.22	0.00***
Pay Before Receipt	0.40	0.49	0.38	0.49	0.68
Pay During Receipt	0.36	0.48	0.40	0.49	0.37
Pay After Receipt	0.25	0.43	0.23	0.42	0.60
Raskin Distributed at Locality Level	0.25	0.43	0.27	0.45	0.47
Raskin Distributed at Hamlet Level	0.77	0.43	0.70	0.46	0.09*
Raskin Distributed at Household Level	0.04	0.19	0.05	0.22	0.54
Bidder Offers Credit	0.19	0.39	0.20	0.40	0.77

Appendix Table 9: Comparison of Winning and Losing Bids in Bidding Treatment Localities, By Min # Bids

Note: This table replicates Table 5, but disaggregates results by minimum number of bids subtreatment. *** p<0.01 ** p<0.05 * p<0.1

	1-by-1	Joint (Form)	Joint (All)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Panel A: Proposal	l Characteristi	cs	
Price markup (Rp/kg) promised by bidder	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)
Pay before receipt	-0.195	-0.240	-0.530
	(0.203)	(0.251)	(0.542)
Offers credit	0.210	0.265	0.262
	(0.205)	(0.166)	(0.339)
Lives in distribution locality	-0.387	-0.277	-0.257
	(0.264)	(0.319)	(0.559)
Is Raskin distributor at time of bidding	0.175	0.072	0.117
	(0.115)	(0.111)	(0.174)
Is a trader	0.371*	0.468***	0.525**
	(0.188)	(0.153)	(0.239)
Has means of transportation supportive of	0.373***	0.250**	0.261
Raskin distribution	(0.115)	(0.119)	(0.241)
Panel B: Individua	ıl Characterist	ics	
Bidder/spouse is related to a local official	0.101		-0.023
Diddel/spouse is folded to a focul official	(0.197)		(0.169)
Bidder/spouse is/was local official	0.200		-0.006
	(0.262)		(0.277)
Raw dice score above median	0.088		0.018
	(0.170)		(0.140)
Years of education	0.040*		0.004
	(0.024)		(0.025)
Has personal savings account that be used for	0.365*		0.215
business	(0.191)		(0.202)
Joint P-Value		0.000	0.000

Appendix Table 10: Who was Selected in Bidding Localities (OLS)

Note: This table replicates Table 6, but estimates the coefficients by OLS rather than the conditional logit. Standard errors are clustered by locality.*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

	1-by-1	Joint (Form)	Joint (All)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)							
Panel A: Proposal Characteristics										
Min # Bids * Promised price markup	0.000	0.001	0.001							
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)							
Min # Bids * Pay before receipt	-0.379	-0.823*	-1.423**							
	(0.534)	(0.472)	(0.686)							
Min # Bids * Offers credit	-0.494	-0.281	-0.802							
	(0.358)	(0.323)	(0.616)							
Min # Bids * Lives in locality	0.676**	1.350***	1.506**							
	(0.277)	(0.431)	(0.740)							
Min # Bids * Distributor at time of	0.260	0.170	-0.049							
bidding	(0.230)	(0.234)	(0.369)							
Min # Bids * Trader	0.268	0.355	0.508							
	(0.417)	(0.346)	(0.503)							
Min # Bids * Has means of transportation	0.477**	0.328	0.469							
supportive of Raskin distribution	(0.227)	(0.250)	(0.465)							
Panel B: Indiv	idual Charac	teristics								
Min # Bids * Bidder/spouse is related to a	0.325		0.140							
local official	(0.393)		(0.369)							
Min # Bids * Bidder/spouse is/was local	0.602		0.455							
official	(0.494)		(0.602)							
Min # Bids * Raw dice score above	-0.109		-0.165							
median	(0.341)		(0.295)							
Min # Bids * Years of education	0.023		0.038							
	(0.050)		(0.055)							
Min # Bids * Has personal savings	0.127		-0.010							
account	(0.381)		(0.401)							
Joint P-Value		0.008	0.135							

Appendix Table 11: Who was Selected in Bidding Localities, by Min # Bids? (OLS)

Note: This table replicates Table 10, but estimates the coefficients by OLS rather than the conditional logit. Standard errors are clustered by locality.*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

	Baseline Ra	askin Markup	Endline Raskin Markup				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Raskin Distributor's Dice	47.915		90.462**				
Score Above Median	(34.994)		(45.806)				
Perception of Locality		495.281***		740.377***			
Head's Corruption		(129.345)		(183.251)			
Perception of Raskin					770.953***		
Distributor's Corruption					(235.283)		
Observations	454	562	282	283	273		

Appendix Table 12: Corruption on Locality Price Markup, Without Locality Controls

Observations454562282283273Note: This table replicates Table 11, but excludes baseline locality controls. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1</td>

	Where Was	No Bidding N	Aeeting Held	l, or Meeting								
		Had No) Bids?		Where	Did Original	Distributor	· Win?	Where is Or	riginal Distri	butor Still D	istributing?
		Joint				Joint				Joint		
	(Household Joint (Form				(Household Joint (Form			(Household Joint (Form				
	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			Ì	Panel A: Repor	ted by Household	ls in Baseline						
Avg Price Markup (Rp/kg)	-0.00299***	-0.00291**		-0.00337***	-0.00154***	-0.00148**		-0.00199**	-0.00088**	-0.00074		-0.00093
	(0.00089)	(0.00115)		(0.00122)	(0.00054)	(0.00063)		(0.00097)	(0.00044)	(0.00049)		(0.00069)
HH Bought Raskin in Last 2 Months	0.37	0.52		0.29	1.47**	1.03		0.64	0.22	0.55		0.74
	(0.89)	(1.24)		(1.46)	(0.60)	(0.73)		(0.93)	(0.59)	(0.69)		(0.87)
Avg Amount of Raskin Purchased (kg)	0.0240	-0.0429		-0.0615	0.0618	0.0025		0.0298	-0.0304	-0.0300		-0.0588
	(0.068)	(0.091)		(0.120)	(0.070)	(0.060)		(0.063)	(0.053)	(0.057)		(0.063)
Avg Satisfaction with Program Quality	3.89*	1.41		0.77	4.52***	3.91**		5.57**	-0.010	-1.25		-1.05
(0-1 scale)	(2.24)	(2.99)		(3.37)	(1.68)	(1.83)		(2.23)	(1.55)	(1.58)		(1.86)
Avg Distance to Purchase Point (meters)	0.00078	-0.00070		-0.00030	-0.00121	-0.00200*		-0.00223*	0.00013	0.00010		0.00019
-	(0.0013)	(0.00147)		(0.00156)	(0.00087)	(0.00109)		(0.00127)	(0.00086)	(0.00096)		(0.00111)
HH purchased Raskin in advance	1.47***	1.10**		1.20**	1.07***	0.45		0.19	0.44	0.35		0.51
-	(0.49)	(0.53)		(0.61)	(0.36)	(0.42)		(0.50)	(0.35)	(0.40)		(0.46)
				Panel B:	From Facilitatio	n Forms						
Raw dice score above median	0.81*		0.95**	1.01**	1.08***		1.13***	1.06**	0.65*		0.64*	0.65*
	(0.46)		(0.48)	(0.49)	(0.35)		(0.36)	(0.41)	(0.33)		(0.35)	(0.38)
Old Distributor Provides Credit if	-0.69		-0.59	0.36	-0.37		-0.24	0.28	-0.29		-0.60	-0.51
Recipient Cannot Afford Raskin	(0.65)		(0.73)	(0.80)	(0.37)		(0.53)	(0.62)	(0.37)		(0.46)	(0.48)
Costs of Rental Vehicle and/or Fuel to	-0.00620		-0.00655	-0.01197*	-0.00016		-0.00370	-0.00121	0.00185		0.00199	0.00401
Old Distributor	(0.00874)		(0.00810)	(0.00705)	(0.00327)		(0.00399)	(0.00428)	(0.00320)		(0.00393)	(0.00447)
Non-Transportation Costs to Old	-0.00031		0.00039	0.00253	-0.00113		-0.00054	0.00041	-0.00105		-0.00027	0.00041
Distributor	(0.00174)		(0.00141)	(0.00157)	(0.00101)		(0.00108)	(0.00115)	(0.00106)		(0.00116)	(0.00118)
Joint P-Value		0.004	0.294	0.006		0.002	0.036	0.013		0.438	0.320	0.452
Observations		187	149	147		187	149	147		185	148	146
Mean		0.13	0.17	0.17		0.59	0.63	0.63		0.57	0.58	0.58

Appendix Table 13: When Did Original Distributor Win and Continue Distributing? (Unconditional)

Note: This table replicates Table 12, but Columns 5-12 include the same sample of localities as Columns 1-4 (i.e. "Where Did Old Distributor Win?" is not conditional on holding a bidding meeting with 1 + bid, and "Where is Old Distributor Still Distributing" is not conditional on old distributor losing bidding). *** p<0.01 ** p<0.05 * p<0.1

	Where Was No Bidding Meeting Held, or Meeting			Where	Did Original	Distributor	· Win?	Where is Original Distributor Still				
		Had No	Bids?		(Conditio	onal on Biddii	ng Held with	n 1+ Bid)	Distributi	ing? (Conditi	onal on Not	Winning)
		Joint			Joint			Joint				
		(Household	Joint (Form		(Household Joint (Form				(Household Joint (Form			
	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
				Panel A: Rep	ported by Hous	eholds in Base	eline					
Avg Price Markup (Rp/kg)	-0.00027***	-0.00021***		-0.00028***	-0.00027**	-0.00024*		-0.00027	0.00004	-0.00002		0.00016
	(0.00007)	(0.00008)		(0.00011)	(0.00013)	(0.00014)		(0.00018)	(0.00017)	(0.00020)		(0.00031)
HH Bought Raskin in Last 2	0.042	0.051		-0.004	0.383***	0.215		0.154	-0.046	0.067		0.241
Months	(0.097)	(0.095)		(0.145)	(0.143)	(0.167)		(0.219)	(0.196)	(0.236)		(0.326)
Avg Amount of Raskin	0.0029	-0.0011		-0.0033	0.0146	-0.0013		0.0032	-0.0154	-0.0108		-0.0145
Purchased (kg)	(0.0088)	(0.0082)		(0.0096)	(0.0163)	(0.0130)		(0.0120)	(0.0113)	(0.0112)		(0.0130)
Avg Satisfaction with Program	0.454*	0.239		0.246	0.978**	0.905**		1.268***	0.116	-0.040		0.074
Quality (0-1 scale)	(0.265)	(0.265)		(0.344)	(0.393)	(0.433)		(0.470)	(0.506)	(0.591)		(0.916)
Avg Distance to Purchase Point	0.00010	0.00003		0.00009	-0.00044*	-0.00051**		-0.00062**	0.00037	0.00040		0.00023
(meters)	(0.00018)	(0.00015)		(0.00018)	(0.00023)	(0.00024)		(0.00026)	(0.00030)	(0.00032)		(0.00036)
HH purchased Raskin in	0.167***	0.109*		0.128*	0.197**	0.056		-0.018	-0.224*	-0.194		-0.239
advance	(0.057)	(0.060)		(0.070)	(0.090)	(0.098)		(0.105)	(0.117)	(0.150)		(0.229)
				Panel B	: From Facili	tation Forms						
Raw dice score above median	0.110*		0.131**	0.128**	0.238***		0.236**	0.186**	-0.048		-0.090	-0.115
	(0.060)		(0.064)	(0.062)	(0.087)		(0.091)	(0.092)	(0.128)		(0.136)	(0.129)
Old Distributor Provides Credit	-0.068		-0.079	0.018	-0.064		-0.026	0.047	0.077		0.047	-0.107
if Recipient Cannot Afford	(0.054)		(0.086)	(0.087)	(0.097)		(0.131)	(0.137)	(0.131)		(0.189)	(0.189)
Costs of Rental Vehicle and/or	-0.00058		-0.00085	-0.00088	0.00028		-0.00054	-0.00004	-0.00053		0.00074	0.00075
Fuel to Old Distributor	(0.00065)		(0.00084)	(0.00067)	(0.00089)		(0.00110)	(0.00108)	(0.00150)		(0.00172)	(0.00187)
Non-Transportation Costs to	-0.00004		0.00007	0.00026	-0.00033		-0.00018	-0.00001	-0.00043		0.00007	-0.00011
Old Distributor	(0.00019)		(0.00024)	(0.00025)	(0.00029)		(0.00033)	(0.00030)	(0.00034)		(0.00062)	(0.00070)
Joint P-Value		0.002	0.268	0.012		0.002	0.113	0.000		0.442	0.964	0.362
Observations		187	149	147		162	123	122		76	55	54
Mean		0.13	0.17	0.17		0.53	0.55	0.56		0.32	0.33	0.31

Appendix Table 14: When Did Original Distributor Win and Continue Distributing? (OLS)

Note: This table replicates Table 12, but estimates the coefficients by OLS rather than the logit.*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

	Where Was I	No Bidding M	leeting Held	, or Meeting	Where	Did Original	Distributor	· Win?	Where is Original Distributor Still			
		Had No	Bids?		(Conditio	onal on Biddii	ng Held witl	h 1+ Bid)	Distribut	ing? (Conditi	onal on Not	Winning)
		Joint			Joint				Joint			
		(Household	Joint (Form			(Household	Joint (Form			(Household	Joint (Form	
	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
				Panel A: Rep	ported by Hous	eholds in Base	eline					
Avg Price Markup (Rp/kg)	-0.00336***	-0.00308**		-0.00305**	-0.00142**	-0.00141**		-0.00176*	0.00031	0.00001		0.00203
	(0.00111)	(0.00138)		(0.00139)	(0.00060)	(0.00066)		(0.00092)	(0.00084)	(0.00099)		(0.00161)
HH Bought Raskin in Last 2	0.234	0.974		1.105	1.601**	1.138		1.117	0.030	0.489		2.800
Months	(1.068)	(1.490)		(1.793)	(0.661)	(0.831)		(1.154)	(0.924)	(1.224)		(2.237)
Avg Amount of Raskin	0.07536	0.00143		-0.00006	0.08532	0.01664		0.05495	-0.07359	-0.06960		-0.07413
Purchased (kg)	(0.08219)	(0.11415)		(0.15475)	(0.07315)	(0.06628)		(0.07213)	(0.06319)	(0.08769)		(0.18843)
Avg Satisfaction with Program	5.193**	1.777		1.390	4.388**	3.494*		5.075**	0.814	0.388		-2.548
Quality (0-1 scale)	(2.442)	(2.996)		(3.303)	(1.831)	(2.084)		(2.341)	(2.240)	(2.721)		(6.384)
Avg Distance to Purchase Point	0.00156	-0.00010		0.00052	-0.00178*	-0.00249**		-0.00333**	0.00145	0.00171		0.00174
(meters)	(0.00129)	(0.00152)		(0.00177)	(0.00104)	(0.00126)		(0.00148)	(0.00138)	(0.00146)		(0.00214)
HH purchased Raskin in	1.580***	1.290**		1.526**	0.868**	0.290		-0.094	-1.275**	-1.190		-1.605
advance	(0.553)	(0.624)		(0.684)	(0.391)	(0.441)		(0.535)	(0.647)	(0.784)		(1.658)
				Panel H	B: From Facili	tation Forms						
Raw dice score above median	0.990**		1.121**	1.407**	1.084***		1.176***	1.082**	0.020		-0.156	-0.071
	(0.489)		(0.495)	(0.576)	(0.382)		(0.424)	(0.458)	(0.623)		(0.716)	(0.770)
Old Distributor Provides Credit	-0.734		-0.681	-0.249	-0.270		-0.220	0.086	0.472		0.524	-0.753
if Recipient Cannot Afford	(0.616)		(0.731)	(0.870)	(0.400)		(0.580)	(0.652)	(0.576)		(1.010)	(1.049)
Costs of Rental Vehicle and/or	-0.00599		-0.00616	-0.00970	0.00024		-0.00330	-0.00008	-0.00150		-0.00002	-0.00345
Fuel to Old Distributor	(0.00775)		(0.00743)	(0.00659)	(0.00386)		(0.00480)	(0.00485)	(0.00809)		(0.00906)	(0.00945)
Non-Transportation Costs to	-0.00074		-0.00017	0.00131	-0.00174		-0.00104	-0.00018	-0.00172		0.00009	-0.00199
Old Distributor	(0.00158)		(0.00138)	(0.00150)	(0.00126)		(0.00137)	(0.00137)	(0.00203)		(0.00287)	(0.00443)
Joint P-Value		0.003	0.149	0.005		0.012	0.071	0.020		0.526	0.991	0.092
Observations		187	149	147		162	123	122		76	55	54
Mean		0.13	0.17	0.17		0.53	0.55	0.56		0.32	0.33	0.31

Appendix Table 15: When Did Original Distributor Win and Continue Distributing? With Baseline Controls

Note: This table replicates Table 12, but controls for baseline characteristics: log locality population, number of hamlets in locality, and distance to subdistrict. *** p<0.01 ** p<0.05 * p<0.1

	Where Was I	No Bidding M	leeting Held,	or Meeting								
		Had No	Bids?		Where	Did Original	Distributor	Win?	Where is O	riginal Distri	butor Still D	istributing?
		Joint				Joint				Joint		
		(Household	Joint (Form			(Household	Joint (Form			(Household	Joint (Form	
	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)	1-by-1	Only)	Only)	Joint (All)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
				Panel A: Repo	orted by House	holds in Basel	ine					
Avg Price Markup (Rp/kg)	-0.00336***	-0.00308**		-0.00305**	-0.00176***	-0.00169***		-0.00207**	-0.00016	-0.00014		-0.00003
	(0.00111)	(0.00138)		(0.00139)	(0.00057)	(0.00063)		(0.00087)	(0.00012)	(0.00014)		(0.00018)
HH Bought Raskin in Last 2	0.234	0.974		1.105	1.427**	1.159		1.005	0.029	0.125		0.191
Months	(1.068)	(1.490)		(1.793)	(0.599)	(0.764)		(1.003)	(0.147)	(0.170)		(0.198)
Avg Amount of Raskin	0.07536	0.00143		-0.00006	0.0922	0.0303		0.0710	-0.0055	-0.0053		-0.0032
Purchased (kg)	(0.08219)	(0.11415)		(0.15475)	(0.0710)	(0.0650)		(0.0706)	(0.0134)	(0.0146)		(0.0145)
Avg Satisfaction with Program	5.193**	1.777		1.390	4.912***	3.499*		4.720**	0.047	-0.269		-0.296
Quality (0-1 scale)	(2.442)	(2.996)		(3.303)	(1.744)	(1.966)		(2.229)	(0.382)	(0.386)		(0.435)
Avg Distance to Purchase Point	0.00156	-0.00010		0.00052	-0.00105	-0.0020*		-0.00228*	0.00004	0.00004		0.00013
(meters)	(0.00129)	(0.00152)		(0.00177)	(0.00090)	(0.0011)		(0.00130)	(0.00021)	(0.00024)		(0.00026)
HH purchased Raskin in	1.580***	1.290**		1.526**	1.085***	0.517		0.269	0.086	0.083		0.135
advance	(0.553)	(0.624)		(0.684)	(0.376)	(0.431)		(0.530)	(0.087)	(0.098)		(0.109)
				Panel B:	From Facilite	ation Forms						
Raw dice score above median	0.990**		1.121**	1.407**	1.213***		1.359***	1.305***	0.178**		0.172**	0.182**
	(0.489)		(0.495)	(0.576)	(0.359)		(0.406)	(0.457)	(0.080)		(0.085)	(0.091)
Old Distributor Provides Credit	-0.734		-0.681	-0.249	-0.386		-0.364	0.100	-0.052		-0.124	-0.148
if Recipient Cannot Afford	(0.616)		(0.731)	(0.870)	(0.386)		(0.557)	(0.630)	(0.092)		(0.108)	(0.114)
Costs of Rental Vehicle and/or	-0.00599		-0.00616	-0.00970	-0.0008		-0.0047	-0.0018	0.00055		0.00036	0.00049
Fuel to Old Distributor	(0.00775)		(0.00743)	(0.00659)	(0.0037)		(0.0044)	(0.0043)	(0.00077)		(0.00090)	(0.00101)
Non-Transportation Costs to	-0.00074		-0.00017	0.00131	-0.00158		-0.00096	-0.00003	-0.00024		-0.00008	-0.00004
Old Distributor	(0.00158)		(0.00138)	(0.00150)	(0.00103)		(0.00115)	(0.00128)	(0.00025)		(0.00026)	(0.00028)
Joint P-Value		0.003	0.149	0.005		0.001	0.016	0.004		0.755	0.237	0.600
Observations		187	149	147		187	149	147		185	148	146
Mean		0.13	0.17	0.17		0.59	0.63	0.63		0.57	0.58	0.58

Appendix Table 16: When Did Original Distributor Win and Continue Distributing? With Baseline Controls, Unconditional

Note: This table replicates Appendix Table 15, but Columns 5-12 include the same sample of localities as Columns 1-4 (i.e. "Where Did Old Distributor Win?" is not conditional on holding a bidding meeting with 1+ bid, and "Where is Old Distributor Still Distributing" is not conditional on old distributor losing bidding). *** p<0.01 ** p<0.05 * p<0.1

	New Di	stributor	New Distributor				
	At Er	ndline	After Re-l	Evaluation			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Info or Bidding	0.041	0.041	0.053	0.053			
	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.049)			
Bidding	0.160***		0.128**				
	(0.052)		(0.052)				
Open Bids		0.130**		0.108*			
		(0.059)		(0.060)			
Min # Bids		0.191***		0.148**			
		(0.060)		(0.060)			
Control Mean	0.193	0.193	0.193	0.193			
Bidding=Ctl	0.000		0.000				
Open=Min		0.309		0.501			
Open=Ctl		0.001		0.001			
Min=Ctl		0.000		0.000			

Appendix Table 17: Who Distributes Raskin, After Six Month Re-Evaluation

"New distributor at endline" is a binary variable that equals one if distributor at endline did not hold any pre-pilot distribution duties. "New distributor after re-evaluation" is a binary variable that equals one if distributor after the 6-month evaluation meeting did not hold any pre-pilot distribution duties. For control localities (as well as treatment localities that did not hold evaluation meetings), this is the same as "New distributor at endline." Regressions estimated by OLS with strata fixed effects. Original localities (i.e. if locality split in two, only keep original part) only. Each column has 571 observations. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

	Number of Winners					
	Reporting					
Ever Distributed?						
Never Distributed Raskin	25					
Distributed Some, but No Longer						
Coordinator	13					
Reason for No Longer Distributing						
Fell ill/died	1					
Couldn't handle responsibility	10					
Decided by others	11					
If Blocked by Others, Whom?						
Local forum	1					
Bidding committee	1					
Local government	8					
Subdistrict government	4					
District government	1					

Appendix Table 18: Why is Winner Not Distributing at Endline in Bidding Localities?

Note: For the sample of winners who report not distributing at endline (38/185 winners selected), this table shows whether they distributed after bidding, and why they claim that they are not currently distributor. Winners could select multiple options.

Appendix Table 18B: Price Gap in Bidding Localities									
	Strata FE	No FE							
	(1)	(2)							
Panel A: Winner no longer distributing (38 localities)									
Winner Cannot Handle Distribution	-42.677	-54.740							
	(68.506)	(48.990)							
Panel B: All localities with winner (185 localities)									
Winner Cannot Handle Distribution	-157.307*	-44.595							
	(83.059)	(60.251)							

Note: This table shows relationship between reason for default and the difference between endline and baseline price in bidding localities. Panel A consists of localities where the winner is no longer distributing for any reason, while Panel B is the full sample of bidding localities that chose a winner. Column 1 includes strata fixed effects, while Column 2 does not. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Appendix Table 19	9: Bidding vs Info	Meetings (Conditional	on Meeting C	Occurring)
-------------------	--------------------	------------------------------	--------------	------------

	Info			Bic	lding	P-Value
	Mean	Std. Dev.		Mean	Std. Dev.	Bidding = Info
Length of Meeting (Hrs)	1.58	0.50		1.74	0.68	0.04**
Total Number of Meeting Attendees	28.54	14.78		21.69	9.13	0.00***
Number Raskin Beneficiaries Attending	12.42	13.96		8.28	8.32	0.00***
Number Local Officials Attending	12.35	5.94		9.42	5.83	0.00***
Any Questions/Comments Left at Meeting?	0.92	0.28		0.88	0.33	0.33
Number of Questions/Comments	6.59	5.10		4.36	2.06	0.00***

Notes: This table provides summary statistics on bidding and information meetings. All data come from the forms that the facilitators used to document these meetings. We present the sample statistics for the 96 localities where information-only meetings were held and then 184 localities where bidding meetings were held.

*** p<0.01 ** p<0.05 * p<0.1.



Note: This figure shows the distribution of Raskin price markup (i.e. reported price less Rp 1600, the official price of Raskin) reported by households at baseline. After dropping absurd values (price < Rp 500 or price > Rp 10,000), the top 1% of markup values reported have also been dropped.

Appendix Figure 1: Distribution of Baseline Raskin Price Markup

|--|

	2013							20	14			
	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Treatment Implementation												
Re-Evaluation												
Baseline Survey												
Endline Survey												

Note: This figure provides a timeline of the implementation and survey activities.



Appendix Figure 3: Why were you selected (or not) as winning distributor?

Note: This figure shows the reasons reported by bidders for why they won (Panel A) or lost (Panel B) the bidding process. Respondents could select multiple reasons and/or report their own. Only the top 10 most frequent responses are shown. Data come from the endline distributor survey, which was given to (1) the current distributor, (2) the original distributor, (3) the bidding winner, and (4) one bidding loser (these sets may overlap such that the minimum number of people interviewed per locality is two).





Note: This figure shows a scatterplot of baseline price markup in a locality (from baseline household survey) vs price markup promised by the winning bidder (from bidding meeting form). A 45 degree line is provided for reference; promised markup is higher than baseline for points above the line, and lower for points below the line.